



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/653,073	09/03/2003	Shigeaki Nimura	241966US2 DIV	2009
22850	7590 04/30/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			PAIK, STEVE S	
	DUKE STREET KANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2876	
			DATE MAILED: 04/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/653,073	NIMURA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Steven S. Paik	2876			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR I MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a retion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON'ry statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	ı <u>03</u> September 2003.				
2a)□		2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Ex The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 September 20</u> Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the of the oath or declaration is objected to by	03 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan correction is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Exception for See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in Ap e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) Notic 3) Inform	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/8 tr No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/3/03</u> .	48) Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed September 3, 2003 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. None of the foreign patent documents listed on the PTO-1449 has been received.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: This application is a DIV of another application, 10/006,715. The Specification should include continuation data information in the first paragraph of the first page of the Specification. It is respectfully requested such information be inserted at the corresponding portion of the application.

Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

4. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957), and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

Application/Control Number: 10/653,073

Art Unit: 2876

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

5. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1 and 2 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,651,894. This is a double patenting rejection.

Re claims 1 and 2, the claimed limitations are identical to the claims 1 and 2 of aforementioned U.S. Patent.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Uhling (USP 6,199,765) discloses a printer comprising a bar code sensor capable of sensing invisible codes discerning information about a paper size, type and orientation.

Murphy et al. (USP 3,933,94) discloses a method for making a business reply envelop having binary code indicia imprinted thereon for read-out by infrared scanning device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven S. Paik whose telephone number is 571-272-2404. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri (5:30am-2:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on 571-272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/653,073

Art Unit: 2876

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steven S. Paik

Page 4

Examiner

Art Unit 2876

ssp